Case 3:07-cv-02199-MEJ Document 13 Filed 09/11/07 Page 1 of 6

1	Heidi Li, Esq., SBN 202068		
2	Matthew J. Webb, SBN 148228 The Law Offices of Matthew J. Webb		
3	409 – 13 th Street, Tribune Tower, 17 th Floor Oakland, CA 94612		
4	Telephone: (510) 444-4224		
5	Facsimile: (510) 444-4223		
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs Juan Murillo, Martha Jimenez,		
7	Amalia Rios and Maria Muñoz		
8	Susan T. Kumagai (State Bar No. 127667)		
9	LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP 100 Spear Street, Suite 600		
10	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 357-4600		
11	Facsimile: (415) 357-4605		
12	Attorneys for Defendant CHASE HOME FINANCE LLC		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	JUAN MURILLO aka JUAN MANUEL)	Case No: C07-02199 MEJ	
16	MURILLO; MARIA MURILLO aka MARIA JUDITH MURILLO; MARTHA JIMENEZ;		
17	AMALIA RIOS aka AMALIA GALVAN RIOS; and MARIA MUÑOZ,	ALL PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANT CHASE HOME FINANCE'S JOINT	
18		STIPULATION SEEKING RELIEF FROM CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE;	
19	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
20	vs.		
21	FRANCISCO CERVANTES; TERESA DIAZ;		
22	BOBBY RAY LEE; FIRST FEDERAL MORTGAGE BANKERS, INC. dba CITYWIDE))	
23	PROPERTIES dba CITYWIDE HOME LOANS dba RAM CAPITAL CORP.; HAROLD))	
24	BLANCO; EAGLE LITERACY GROUP, INC.; NEW CENTURY MORTGAGE CORP.; WELLS))	
25	FARGO BANK, NA.; CHASE HOME))	
26	FINANCE, LLC, OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC and DOES 1-100,))	
27	Defendants.))	
28	Detendants.	Ó	
)	

Murillo, et al. v. Cervantes, et al. – (Case no. C07-02199 MEJ) - STIPULATION SEEKING RELIEF FROM CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case 3:07-cv-02199-MEJ Document 13 Filed 09/11/07 Page 2 of 6

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2007, plaintiffs Juan Murillo, Maria Murillo, Martha Jiminez, Amalia 1 Rios and Maria Muñoz ("plaintiffs") through their former attorney of record Housing and Economic 2 Rights Advocates (HERA) filed a Complaint for damages naming, among other defendants, 3 "JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. dba Chase Home Finance LLC" ("Chase") and all other defendants 4 5 named therein;" WHEREAS, on June 27, 2007, plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint for damages 6 naming, among other defendants, "JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. dba Chase Home Finance LLC" 7 ("Chase") and all other defendants named therein;" 8 WHEREAS, on June 28, 2007, plaintiffs filed an Ex Parte Application Seeking Relief from 9 Case Management Schedule and Extending Time for Service of Summons and Complaint; [Proposed] 10 Order. In doing so, plaintiffs sought relief from this Court's initial April 20, 2007, Order Setting Initial 11 Case Management Conference pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-2(d) in order to allow plaintiffs and 12 defendants in this proceeding full and fair opportunity to jointly comply with meet and confer, ADR, 13 initial disclosure and joint Case Management Statement filing requirements as the First Amended 14 Complaint had just been filed and no defendants named in the initial Complaint had been served yet. 15 See F.R.C.P. 26(a)(1), and (f); Civil L.R. 16-8, 16-9(a) and 16-10; and ADR L.R. 3-5; 16 WHEREAS, on July 10, 2007, this Court issued its Order Adopting [plaintiffs'] Proposed 17 Revised Case Management Schedule which provided for the following revised Case Management 18 Schedule: 19 Last day to meet & confer re initial FRCivP 26(f); ADR L₁R₁3-5 20 9/11/07 disclosures, early settlement, ADR process 21 selection, and discovery plan 22 Civil L.R. 16-8 Last day to file Joint ADR Certification 9/11/07 with Stipulation to ADR process or Notice 23 of Need for ADR Phone Conference 24 FRCivP 26(a)(1) Last day to complete initial disclosures 9/26/07 25 Civil L.R. 16-9 or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report, file / serve Case Management Statement, 26 and file / serve Rule 26(f) Report 27 Initial Case Management Conference Civil L.R. 16-10 10/04/07 (CMC) in Ctrm. B, 15th Floor, SF 10:00 AM 28 Murillo, et al. v. Cervantes, et al. – (Case no. C07-02199 MEJ) - STIPULATION SEEKING RELIEF FROM CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE; |PROPOSED| ORDER

- 2 -

WHEREAS, plaintiffs through their former attorney of record Housing and Economic Rights Advocates (HERA) served JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. with Summons and First Amended Complaint in this action on August 1, 2007;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs through their former attorney of record Housing and Economic Rights Advocates (HERA) served New Century Mortgage Corp. with Summons and First Amended Complaint in this action on August 1, 2007;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs both notified and instructed in writing as of August 2, 2007, Housing and Economic Rights Advocates of their intention to substitute in shortly a new a counsel of record in place of and instead of it in this action;

WHEREAS, New Century issued a letter dated August 14, 2007 to Housing and Economic Rights Advocates confirming its receipt of plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and informed plaintiffs therein of its request that "all proceedings in connection with this matter [against it] be stayed" in light of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy petitions filed by it on April 2, 2007. See attached EXHIBIT B – August 14, 2007 New Century letter to Housing and Economic Rights Advocates.

WHEREAS, plaintiffs filed a Substitution of Attorneys with this Court on August 22, 2007 substituting attorneys Matthew J. Webb, Esq. and Heidi M. Li, Esq., of the Law Offices of Matthew Webb, as their attorney of record effective as of August 6, 2007, in place of and instead of Housing and Economic Rights Advocates, in this action;

WHEREAS the Substitution of Attorneys filed by plaintiffs on August 22, 2007 was submitted only after Housing and Economic Rights Advocates' signature consenting to this substitution of counsel was obtained on August 21, 2007;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs and Chase Home Finance ("Chase"), at the request of legal counsel retained by Chase, filed on August 23, 2007, a Stipulation Regarding Amendment to Complaint and to Extend Time to File Responsive Pleading by Defendant Chase Home Finance LLC; [Proposed] Order;

WHEREAS, the basis for plaintiffs and Chase seeking to amend the complaint was to amend plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint to name "Chase Home Finance LLC" as a defendant in this action instead, and in place, of "JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. dba Chase Home Finance LLC" as "Chase

Home Finance LLC" ("Chase") is the correct name for this defendant in this action instead of "JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. dba Chase Home Finance LLC;"

WHEREAS, the basis for plaintiffs and Chase stipulating to Chase having until and including September 20, 2007, to file a responsive pleading to plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (including, but not limited to, a motion to dismiss pursuant to FRCP Rule 12) was and is that these parties continue to be engaged in meaningful, early settlement negotiations regarding the plaintiffs' claims against this defendant;

WHEREAS, on August 27, 2007, this Court issued an Order Approving the above-stated requests contained in the August 23, 2007, Stipulation Regarding Amendment to Complaint and to Extend Time to File Responsive Pleading by Defendant Chase Home Finance LLC;

WHEREAS, on August 28, 2007, plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint for damages naming, among other defendants, "Chase Home Finance LLC" ("Chase") and all other defendants named therein;"

WHEREAS, on August 28, 2007, plaintiffs served by first class mail the Summons and Second Amended Complaint and other initial court papers issued in this proceeding on Chase through its designated counsel, attorney Susan T. Kumagai of LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP;

WHEREAS, on August 31, 2007, plaintiffs, through process servers, effectuated personal service of Summons and Second Amended Complaint and other initial court papers issued in this proceeding on the following defendants: Bobby Ray Lee, First Federal Mortgage Bankers, Inc. dba Citywide Properties; Teresa Diaz, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC.

WHEREAS, since August 28, 2007, plaintiffs have engaged in diligent efforts to try to effectuate personal service of Summons and Second Amended Complaint and other initial court papers issued in this proceeding on the remaining named defendants in this matter: Francisco Cervantes, Harold Blanco and Eagle Literacy Group, Inc.;

WHEREAS, as of the date of the filing of this Stipulation, no other defendants named in plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint have yet filed an initial responsive pleading nor, otherwise, appeared in this action except for Chase (by stipulation only);

WHEREAS, such relief from the current July 10, 2007, Order Adopting Proposed Case
Management Schedule is necessary in order to allow plaintiffs and all defendants in this proceeding
full and fair opportunity to jointly comply with meet and confer, ADR, initial disclosure and joint Case
Management Statement filing requirements; and

Case 3:07-cv-02199-MEJ Document 13 Filed 09/11/07 Page 5 of 6

	ł	
1	WHEREAS, Good cause exists to approve this Stipulation as no defendant party has filed an	
2	initial responsive pleading yet nor appeared in the action except for Chase (by stipulation only) and no	
3	prejudice will, as a consequence, result to any of the parties. See F.R.C.P. 6(b); and Civil L.R. Rules	
4	6-1(b), 6-2 and 7-12.	
5		Respectfully Submitted,
	Dated: Sept. 7, 2007	THE LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW J. WEBB
6		By: /S/
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8		
9	Dated: Sept. 7, 2007	LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP
10		By: / S/
11		SUSAN T. KUMAGAI Attorneys for Defendant
12		CHASE HOME FINANCE LLC
13		
14	As the attorney efiling this document with the court, I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any and all signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this	
15		
16		
17		
18	efiled document.	
19	DATED: Sept. 7, 2007	LAFAYETTE & KUMAGAI LLP
20		
21		By:/S/ SUSAN T. KUMAGAI
22		Attorneys for Defendant CHASE HOME FINANCE LLC
23		OH ION HOND I HANGOD DEC
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Murillo et al. v. Cervantes, et al (Case no. C07-07100 MEI) - STIPHI ATION SEEKING DELIFE FROM CASE MANAGEMENT	

Murillo, et al. v. Cervantes, et al. — (Case no. C07-02199 MEJ) - STIPULATION SEEKING RELIEF FROM CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE; [PROPOSED] ORDER

2.3

<u>ORDER</u>

The Court has reviewed the plaintiffs and defendant Chase's Stipulation Seeking Relief from Case Management Schedule; proposed revised Case Management Schedule; [Proposed] Order and based upon the foregoing, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the plaintiffs, Chase and all other defendants named in plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint be granted relief from the court's current Order Adopting Proposed Revised Case Management Schedule and the court shall issue a new Order Adopting Proposed Revised Case Management Schedule in this proceeding as identified and attached hereto in EXHIBIT A.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 11th

day of September 2007

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Maria Elena James

Honorable Maria
United States Distri
Magistrate Judga